~~Cop~~ ~~MA 137, 456, 286~~ Fetzer - copy
Cover, inside title pg
title pg, contents, IX

Reading the book that Fetzer has cobbled together creates a wonder: has advanced education destroyed common sense?

Another wonder is, does he have monogram hand and toilet tissue, engraved with Dr. Ph.D on them?

He has his Ph.D wherever possible on his book. Even on its cover.

But the book itself raises more than subtantial questions about his common sense.

This wonder is not limited to him. It is not that he alone raises this question. Reading the other essays, he tries to get precisely the publicity he covets. This is what all the other essays require, and with some, that was before Fetzer

Mantik's 11/2/92 interview with Eberle pp 433-9 is typical of all those who image that the autopsy film was altered - he did not try to learn more about either the crime or its investigation, and when Eberle volunteered what he knew, that he on more than one occasion was called to the W.H. to examine the X-rays, which I've seen, nothing about any where all Mantik says is that they were probably originals. Not any effort to learn who called him there and why. Or with whom. These nuts care only about validating what they make up & makes no sense at all. They, as best I recall, attribute no purpose to what I believe is criminal.

Their interest is not in the assassination, in learning more about it. It is in their nutty notions in which they display their ignorance of the actual evidence - and have no interest in that. Or in what makes belief to be wrong & to give it no purpose and is refuted by the actual evidence

see over

Eberle was not a W.C. witness. If Marik had any interest in anything besides his business he would have tried to follow up on what was known what was new and that E Berle had volunteered talked about